UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/565,911	05/03/2006	Ryosei Kamaguchi	8279.1042USWO	7374
52835 7590 06/05/2009 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902			EXAMINER	
			SOROUSH, ALI	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comments	10/565,911	KAMAGUCHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ALI SOROUSH	1616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ja</u>	nuary 2006.					
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
<i>i</i>	, _					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
	Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 4-10 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian raquirament					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 05032006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite				

Application/Control Number: 10/565,911 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

Applicant has submitted a preliminary amendment on 01/25/2006 cancelling claim 3, amending claim 6 and 7, and adding new claims 9 and 10. Therefore, claims 1, 2, and 4-10 are currently pending examination for patentability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Applicant Claims
- 2. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 3. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue; and resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 4-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US Patent 5330835, 07/19/1994) in view of Kamaguchi et al. (International Application Published Under the PCT WO 03/043609, Published 05/30/2003 or US Patent 7255921 B2 the English Equivalent).

Applicant Claims

Applicant claims a process for producing a heat resistant capsule comprising simultaneously extruding a capsule filler solution, capsule covering film solution, and an oil solution through multiple nozzle composed of at least three nozzles concentrically arranged, having sequentially increasing radius. Wherein, the capsule covering film solution contains curdlan.

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Kikuchi et al. teach, "A seamless capsule comprising a content and film coating said content is disclosed. The content is a hydrophilic substance." (See abstract). " A capsule content 4 which is supplied into a nozzle part is extruded from an inner nozzle (first nozzle) 1 and a viscous liquid which is scarcely miscible with water is extruded from an annular pore tip of an intermediate nozzle (second nozzle) 2 and, at the same time, a film solution for seamless capsule 6 is extruded from an annular pore tip of an outer nozzle (third nozzle) 3, and then a three-phase composite jet this obtained is ejected into a cooling solution 8 to obtain a seamless capsule 7 of the present invention. The capsule 7 comprises a central core 9, a film-forming outer wall 10 and a discrete layer 11 between the central core 9 and the outer wall 10." (See column 3, Lines 38-50). "A seamless capsule in the following Examples is composed of a content solution (solution I), a viscous liquid (solution II) which is present between a film solution and the content solution and the film solution (solution III)." (See column 4, Lines 23-27). One exemplified formulation comprises 70% solution I: 96.5% polyethylene, 2.0% herb

extract, 1.0% sweetener and 0.5% flavor, 20% solution II: 80.0% sucrose fatty acid ester, 15.0% menthol, 5.0% flavor, and 10% solution III: 20.0% gelatin (80% covering film matrix), 5.0% sorbitol and 75.0% water. (See Column 4, Example 1). "By using a concentrix triplex nozzle, a herb extract solution containing PEG 400 as a solvent (solution I) was extruded from an inner nozzle and 1-mnethol solution containing sucrose fatty acid ester as a main ingredient (solution II) heated to 80°C was extruded from an intermediate nozzle and, at the same time, a gelatin solution (solution III) heated to 60°C was extruded from an outer nozzle to form a three-phase jet in a ration shown in Formulation 1, and then the jet was injected into a vegetable oil cooled to 12°C to obtain a seamless capsule ... The capsule was transparent and had good mouth feel and taste." (See column 4, Lines 54-68).

Ascertainment of the Difference Between Scope the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.012)

Kikuchi et al. lacks a teaching wherein the film solution comprises curdlan. This deficiency is cured by the teachings o Kamguchi et al.

Kamaguchi et al. teach, "Particularly, the present invention provides a non-gelatinous capsule shell compisition comprising a strach hydrolyzate as a base and a gelling agent." (See column 2, Lines 28-30). "As a gelling agent, polysaccharides from plant, which melts at a temperature not more than 80°C, are suitably used, and examples thereof include one or combination of two or more selected from the group consisting of ... curdlan ..." (See column 4, Lines 14-18). "The gelling agent is contained in an amount of 0.5 to 30% by weight, preferably 1 to 15% by weight, based

on total weight of the solid content in the capsule shell composition. (See column 4, Lines 29-31). Optionally, a shell reinforcer may be used including polysaccharides such as pullulan, gum arabic, arabinogaractan, cellulose and derivatives thereof. (See column 4, Lines 44-55). "The capsule of the present invention may be a seamless capsule. The capsule can be produced by a method of continuously producing seamless capsule by a dripping process using a multiple nozzle ... In the dripping process using a multiple nozzle, after concentric multiple nozzle, which is doublet or more, is inserted into liquid oil flowing down at a constant rate, the content substance is ejected through an innermost nozzle and the non-gelatinous capsule shell composition is ejected through an outermost nozzle, simultaneously, at a constant rate to continuously produce spherical seamless capsule by interfacial tension applied between the liquid oil and shell substance." (See column 5, Lines 45-59). "[G]elatin is animal protein from livestock ... it is difficult to contain a substance that reacts with a protein as a capsule content therein. It is a problem that the gelatin shell is easily insoluble or brittle with time and the heat resistance thereof is degraded when the moisture is increased. There have been cases that gelatin is incepted to have an allergy thereto and is restricted to use religiously or from vegetarism. In addition, recently, it has been difficult to use gelatin for the reason of infection or contamination of livestock diseases, such as mad cow disease (BSE), foot and out disease to human. Therefore, it has been required to develop non-gelatinous capsule shell without using gelatin as a base." (See column 1, Lines 17-30).

Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the instant invention to combine the teachings of Kikuchi et al. with Kamaguchi et al. One would have motivated to do so because the combination would provide a non-gelatinous seamless capsule shell able to retain a hydrophilic substance.

With regard to instant claim 5 limitation of a fourth nozzle extruding a liquid substance for isolating the capsule filler solution and capsule film, it is the Examiners position that this is made obvious by the teachings of Kikuchi et al. One of ordinary skill at the time of the instant invention would have been motivated to add a fourth nozzle that extrudes and isolating liquid substance, since Kikuchi et al. teach that the multiple nozzle may have more than three concentrically arranged nozzles and further that solution for isolating the capsule solution from the capsule film in order to reduce content of the hydrophilic substance transferring to the film to soften the capsule. For the foregoing reasons, the instantly claimed composition would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art.

2. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kikuchi et al. (US Patent 5330835, 07/19/1994) in view of Yamamoto et al. (US Patent 5431917, Published 07/11/1995).

Applicant Claims

Applicant claims a heat resistant capsule comprising a covering film and a capsule filler solution encapsulated therein, wherein covering film comprises 80% by

weight or more relative to the total weight of the covering film of curdian. Applicant further claims a process for producing a heat resistant capsule comprising simultaneously extruding a capsule filler solution, capsule covering film solution, and an oil solution through multiple nozzle composed of at least three nozzles concentrically arranged, having sequentially increasing radius. Wherein, the capsule covering film solution contains curdian.

Page 7

Determination of the Scope and Content of the Prior Art (MPEP §2141.01)

Kikuchi et al. teach, "A seamless capsule comprising a content and film coating said content is disclosed. The content is a hydrophilic substance." (See abstract). " A capsule content 4 which is supplied into a nozzle part is extruded from an inner nozzle (first nozzle) 1 and a viscous liquid which is scarcely miscible with water is extruded from an annular pore tip of an intermediate nozzle (second nozzle) 2 and, at the same time, a film solution for seamless capsule 6 is extruded from an annular pore tip of an outer nozzle (third nozzle) 3, and then a three-phase composite jet this obtained is ejected into a cooling solution 8 to obtain a seamless capsule 7 of the present invention. The capsule 7 comprises a central core 9, a film-forming outer wall 10 and a discrete layer 11 between the central core 9 and the outer wall 10." (See column 3, Lines 38-50). "A seamless capsule in the following Examples is composed of a content solution (solution I), a viscous liquid (solution II) which is present between a film solution and the content solution and the film solution (solution III)." (See column 4, Lines 23-27). One exemplified formulation comprises 70% solution I: 96.5% polyethylene, 2.0% herb

extract, 1.0% sweetener and 0.5% flavor, 20% solution II: 80.0% sucrose fatty acid ester, 15.0% menthol, 5.0% flavor, and 10% solution III: 20.0% gelatin (80% covering film matrix), 5.0% sorbitol and 75.0% water. (See Column 4, Example 1). "By using a concentrix triplex nozzle, a herb extract solution containing PEG 400 as a solvent (solution I) was extruded from an inner nozzle and 1-mnethol solution containing sucrose fatty acid ester as a main ingredient (solution II) heated to 80°C was extruded from an intermediate nozzle and, at the same time, a gelatin solution (solution III) heated to 60°C was extruded from an outer nozzle to form a three-phase jet in a ration shown in Formulation 1, and then the jet was injected into a vegetable oil cooled to 12°C to obtain a seamless capsule ... The capsule was transparent and had good mouth feel and taste." (See column 4, Lines 54-68).

Ascertainment of the Difference Between Scope the Prior Art and the Claims (MPEP §2141.012)

Kikuchi et al. lacks a teaching wherein the film solution comprises curdlan. This deficiency is cured by the teachings o Yamamoto et al.

Yamamoto et al. teach, "A method of producing a hard capsule for pharmaceutical drugs, comprising: preparing an aqueous solution of a capsule base containing a water soluble cellulose derivative ... a gelatinizing agent and an auxiliary for gelation ..." (See column 7, claim 1). "The method for producing a hard capsule according to claim 1, wherein the gelatinizing agent is selected from the group consisting of ... curdlan, gelatin ..." (See column 8, claim 11).

Finding of Prima Facie Obviousness Rational and Motivation (MPEP §2142-2143)

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the instant invention to combine the teachings of Kikuchi et al. with Yamamoto et al. One would have motivated to substitute curdlan for the gelatin in the film solution taught by Kikuchi et al. since gelatin is an animal product which can be contaminated with BSE and curdlan is safer suitable alternative to gelatin.

With regard to instant claim 5 limitation of a fourth nozzle extruding a liquid substance for isolating the capsule filler solution and capsule film, it is the Examiners position that this is made obvious by the teachings of Kikuchi et al. One of ordinary skill at the time of the instant invention would have been motivated to add a fourth nozzle that extrudes and isolating liquid substance, since Kikuchi et al. teach that the multiple nozzle may have more than three concentrically arranged nozzles and further that solution for isolating the capsule solution from the capsule film in order to reduce content of the hydrophilic substance transferring to the film to soften the capsule. For the foregoing reasons, the instantly claimed composition would have been suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ali Soroush whose telephone number is (571) 272-9925. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday 8:30am to 5:00pm E.S.T.

Application/Control Number: 10/565,911 Page 10

Art Unit: 1616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on (571) 272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ali Soroush Patent Examiner Art Unit: 1616

/Johann R. Richter/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1616